$\frac{A}{v}$ . Space Res. Vol.2, No.11, pp.197-200, 1983 0273-1177/83/110197-04\$3.00/0 Printed in Great Britain. All rights reserved.

# PARTICLE CHARGE INTERCHANGE DURING ACCELERATION IN FLARE REGIONS

J. Perez-Peraza, J. Martinell and A. Villareal

Instituto de Geofisica, *U.N.A. M., 04510-c. U., Mexico 20, D.F.*

#### **ABSTRACT**

We have examined the conditions for the establishment of charge equilibrium of solar particles during their acceleration. We derive criteria for charge interchange with the atomic and ionized hydrogen at the particles'sources, for two different acceleration mechanisms. It is found that charge interchange is established whenever a particle event is produced. The implications related to mass and charge spectra of particles arediscussed. The measured charge state of solar particles cannot in general be directly used for diagnosis of the source temperature, so we suggest another alternative based on the emitted radiation from electron capture.

## INTRODUCTION

It is usually assumed that the charge state of solar nuclei corresponds to the ionization equilibrium of the solar corona at  $T^{1.6}x10^{6}$  °K [1]. This entails that charge equilibrium of particles with the source matter is not established during the acceleration process, or subsequent propagation, but that the quring the acceleration process, or subsequent propagation, claimed on the basis of two main observational features: (1) C and 0 are in a  $\frac{1}{2}$  is the product of two main observational features: (i)  $\frac{1}{2}$  did according to  $\frac{1}{2}$  individual state even at very low energy, and that according to  $\frac{1}{2}$ indirect results  $\left[2\right]$ ,  $\left[3\right]$ , religional mean charge stripped at energies as 100  $\lambda$  and 2 MeV/n,  $\left(2\right)$  the measured mean charge states do not uses through a ds v.2 and 2  $\frac{m}{2}$  range measured measured from events are invariant because  $\frac{m}{2}$  must be not be noted to wide energy range and are invariant from eventto event. It must be noted that<br>observational results are still of limited reliability because the limited charge resolution does not allow for individual charge state separation and unambig uos identification by atomic number. Nevertheless, in relation to (1). it may uos identification by atomic humber. Nevertheless, in relation to (1). It may<br>be arqued that if charge equilibrium is established, the charge values predicare  $\alpha$  are much lower than the measured values (Z and 8 are the atomic number and ale multi lower than the measured values (2 and p are the atomic number<br>welocity of particles in units of the light velocity and a = 130/z<sup>0</sup>.66). vercolly of particles in units of the inquire velocity and a = livy of .<br>Wowsway if instead of f=l,we consider the fact that the target medium has a However, if instead of  $\xi=1$ , we consider the fact that the target medium has a<br>finite temperature, then  $\xi=exp[-a(3kT/m_ec^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}]$  (where k and mec2 are the Boltzman constant and electron rest energy). So, even in the idealized assumption of a can be electron rest energy). So, even in the idealized assumpted as trons in addition, this can be founted use to the level of the thermal velocity. of nuclei must be normalized to the local charge determined from ionization of initial must be normalized to the focal tharge determined from fontzation<br>equilibrium at the temperature of the source. It follows, that the effective the event where  $\frac{d}{dx}$  is neglected. In contrast the experimental state  $\frac{d}{dx}$ , it is neglected. In contrast to (2), it should be mentioned that where temperature is neglected. In contrast to  $(10-150)$ SNOWLO DE MENTLONEG THAT THE MEESURES CHARGE STATES OF FE IN THE FANGE (10-<br>Volle for the event of 14 May 1974 are Fettl to Fetll Ml, whereast higher energies charge states up to Fe+18 are present  $(5)$ : this may be interpreted<br>in terms of establishment of charge equilibrium. On the other hand, it is difin 'terms of establishment of charge equilibrium.On the other hand, it is dif<br>figult to concele the invariance from event to event of the acceleration reficult to concede the invariance from event to event of the acceleration re-<br>gion location to a coronal site of Tol 5x10<sup>6</sup> °K given the high dispersion of flare occurence over the two other dimensions (heliolatitude-heliolongitude). As<br>flare occurence over the two other dimensions (heliolatitude-heliolongitude). a matter of fact, the mean charge state of re at EVU.2 MeV/n for different<br>events differs from 11, [4] to 26, [2], and similarly at EV2 MeV/n for difthe conditions for establishment of charqe equilibrium, to determine whether particles conditions for establishment of charge equilibrium, to determine which may  $\beta$  reduced to the reduced to evaluate the relative importance between the characteristic characteristic characteristic characteristic characteristic characteristic characteristic characteristic characteristic characteri le required to evaluate the ferminism informance between the charge-changing.<br>Leasthe of the scalenties step and the mean free path for change changing

### RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Conditions for the establishment of charge interchange during acceleration are widely assorted depending on the acceleration mechanism, the kind of element, the temperature and,consequently, the degree of ionization of the target hydrogen and the local charge state of the accelerated ions. It is precisely this assorted behavior of the particle charge that allows for a wide variety of selectivity effects on solar particle composition from event to event, and that we will discuss elsewhere.Here we will limit to present our results concerning charge-changing processes in the acceleration region: whatever the concerning charge-changing processes in the acceleration region: <sup>whatever</sup><br>source parameters (T=5000-2.5x10<sup>8</sup> °K and N ≤10<sup>15</sup> cm<sup>-3</sup>), electron capture in source parameters  $(1\equiv 5000 - 2.5 \times 10^{-5} \text{ m})$  and  $N=10^{-5} \text{ cm}^{-3}$ , electron capture in the domain v $N$ , electron tically established for both acceleration processes in atomic and ionized hydrogen, with  $t$  the preservation of electron capture by C and lighter elements in ionized by  $\Gamma$ the preservation of electron capture by C and lighter elements in ionized<br>hydrogen at 1.6x10°/TCl0<sup>5</sup> ex. Thus, solid lines in Figs. (2) and (3) indicate that only one charge—changing process is established: electron capture for  $\frac{1}{2}$  and electron loss for vive  $\frac{1}{2}$  and  $\$ ver and electron ross for the property range for capture increase with atomic number. At low temperatures, the probability and energy range for electron loss in the domain v competatures, the providentity and energy family considered to the domain versus.  $\frac{1}{100}$  is generally established in atomic hydrogen but not at all in ionized hydrois generally established in atomic hydrogen but not at all in ionized hydro<br>gen. Electron capture in the domain v>v, is generally established in atomic yen. Electron capture in the domain v-v<sub>C</sub> is generarly established in atomic<br>hydrogen whereas in ionized hydrogen capture occurs preferentially ted with point-dashed and dashed lines the range of electron loss establishment in the domain of electron capture and the range of capture establishment in the domain of electron loss, respectively. These ranges where both charge— interchange processes occur simultaneously determine the domain of charge—einterchange processes occur simultaneously determine the domain of charge-e-<br>quilibrium. It follows that outside of that domain, i.e.,where only one charge—changing process is allowed during acceleration, the well known semi empirical expressions of effective charge during deceleration of monoenerge tic ions in atomic matter do not apply: other descriptions of effective charge must be found for the low apply: Comer usseriptions of energy range where  $\epsilon$  and high energy range where charge equilicharge must be found for the low and high energy range where charge equili-<br>brium is not established. In fact, low energy ions gain charge while increasing their energy, so that eventually they can be lost from the accelerated flux if they reach their atomic state. On the other hand, at high energies where only electron loss is generally established, particles strip faster where only election loss is generally escapilibred, particles strip faster<br>than they do with the effective charge predicted from charge-equilibrium:since<br>in a wide temperature range of ionized hydrogen sources (0.2x10'-107 equilibrium is not established, we claim this is the reason why in addition to equilibrium is not established, we claim this is the reason why in addition to<br>the arguments mentioned in the introduction, solar particles of v>v\_ are meathe arguments mentioned in the introduction, solar particles of  $v>v<sub>C</sub>$  are mea-<br>sured in a higher stripped state than predicted from charge equilibrium of ions undergoing deceleration through atomic matter. Therefore, according to the energy range in consideration, three different expressions of effective charge modulated by the presence of thermal electrons of finite temperature<br>( $\xi \neq 1$ ) and normalized to the local charge state,  $\Omega_r$ , need to be employed in





inter and the electron capture of the loss (solid lines at low and high eloss (solid lines at los at loss (solid lines) and charge e-<br>nergies respectively) and charge e-<br>quilibrium (dashed and point-dashed quilibrium (dashed lines) of Silicium quilibrium<br>dashed and a line and dished hydrogen. lines) of Fe and Al in atanic hy- respectivelydrogen.

Fig.2. – Energy domain v s. relative Fig.3. – Energy domain v s. relative importance of electron capture and  $\frac{1}{2}$  importance of electron capture and quilibrium(dashed lines) of Silicium<br>in ionized hydrogen.

processes.

### CHARGE INTERCHANGE DURING ACCELERATION

particle acceleration processes whose rates are independent of mass <sup>A</sup> and charge q may be expressed in the non-relativistic range as  $(dE/dt) = \alpha E^{n}$ <br>(energy/sec. nucleon), where  $n=\frac{1}{2}$  with  $\alpha = \alpha_{f}(2\mu c^{2})^{0.5}$  and  $n = 1$  with  $\alpha = 2\alpha_{b}$ (energy/sec. nucleon), where  $n = k$  with  $\alpha = \alpha_f(2\mu c^2)$  . and  $n = 1$  with  $\alpha = 2\alpha_b$ <br>correspond to the Fermi and Betatron processes respectively;  $\alpha_f$  and  $\alpha_b$  are E =  $\frac{m^2}{2}$  is the kinetic energy per nucleon. Using (d/dt)= v(d/dx) the above  $\mathbf{r}_1 = \mathbf{p} \mathbf{v}^2 / 2$  is the kinetic energy per nucleon. Using  $(\mathbf{u}/\mathbf{u}) = \mathbf{v} (\mathbf{u}/\mathbf{u})$ , the above rate  $\mathbf{v}^2 (1-\mathbf{n}) d\mathbf{v} = (\alpha/2) \left( \frac{1}{2} \right) \mathbf{n}^{-1} d\mathbf{x}$ . In the energy range where electron rate becomes v (1 ") av= (a/2) (p/2)" + ax. In the energy range where erections of the value of the rection of<br>loss is dominant (v > v\_), this equation must be integrated from vc to v loss is dominant (v > v<sub>C</sub>), this equation must be integrated from v<sub>C</sub> to v<br>through the traveled thickness of matter L, whereas in the range where elec through the traveled thickness of matter L, whereas in the fange where efect<br>tron capture is dominant (v<v\_) the integration must be from v to v\_, where tron capture is dominant  $(v < v<sub>C</sub>)$  the integration must be from v to  $v<sub>C</sub>$ , where  $v<sub>C</sub>$  is the velocity where the cross sections for electron capture and loss  $\alpha$  is the velocity where the cluss sections for the charging processes requires that the amount of traversed matter be larger than the mean free path of the charge interchange process in consideration  $(L>\lambda=1/N\sigma)$  where N is the number density and  $\sigma$  the corresponding cross section, we obtain the following conditions for  $\sigma$ and o the corresponding cross section, we obtain the forfow<br>the establishment of electron loss and capture respectively

$$
\alpha < 2 \left( \mathbf{v} \right)^{3-2n} - \mathbf{v}_c^{3-2n} \mathbf{v}^{3-2n} \mathbf{v}^{3-2n} \left( \frac{1}{2} \right)^{n-1} = \varepsilon
$$
\n
$$
\alpha < 2 \left( \mathbf{v}_c \right)^{3-2n} - \mathbf{v} \left( \frac{3-2n}{2} \right) \mathbf{v}^{3-2n} \left( \frac{1}{2} \right)^{n-1} = \varepsilon
$$
\n
$$
\tag{2}
$$

Now, since solar particles are more than  $\frac{1}{2}$  since  $\frac{1}{2}$  and are distributed in the range  $\left(\frac{1}{0}\right)^{1}$  -  $\frac{1}{2}$  of  $n$ , it must be assumed that if  $7 \circ K$ , and are distributed in the range  $(0.10^4 - 2x10^{10})$  eV/n, it must be assumed that they and are usurposed in the range (since  $\frac{1}{2}$  and  $\frac{1}{2}$  respectively. The rate of Coulomb losses ale generaaly accelerated with a face hydret chan the face of concoming for the state of the  $((dE/dt)<sub>a</sub>)(dE/dt)<sub>L</sub>$ , otherwise particles would concentrate at low energies, most of them below the observational domain. To quantify Coulomb losses relative to acceleration efficiency, one usually defines a critical acceleris a time to accelerate the thermined as the thereshold value of a for which  $\frac{1}{2}$ (dE/dt)<sub>a</sub> and (dE/dt)<sub>L</sub> are tangentially equated, so that particles overcome the<br>Coulomb barrier only when  $\alpha > \alpha_c$ . Therefore, the criteria described by eqs. (1)<br>and (2) coulomb losses in ionized and atomic hydrogen with explicit temperature dependence have been previously discussed [7], so for a source of atomic hydrogen we find have been previously discussed [7], so for a source of atomic hydrogen we find  $\alpha_C(n=x) = 3.38x10^{-13}N(q^0 \cdot {}^5/A)$  and  $\alpha_C(n=1) = 4.5x10^9(N/T^0 \cdot {}^{27}) (q^0 \cdot {}^{16} / A^0 \cdot {}^{78})$  for the  $\sum_{r=1}^{\infty}$  and Betatron mecanisms respectively, whereas in ionized hydrogen we obtain and betatron mecanisms respectively, whereas in fontzed nydrogen we<br>obtain ac (n=2)=3.89x10<sup>7</sup>(N<sup>0.98</sup>/T<sup>0.96</sup>/10<sup>1</sup>/<sup>8</sup>/N<sup>0.98</sup>) and  $t_{\text{ion}} = 1 - 2 \times 10^{-1}$  in  $t = 10^{-1}$  in the expressions given in [8] for electron capture and

loss at low velocities (when particles are highlycharged) have been aplied. At higher velocities (when particles are urigin velocities) have used the expression given in  $[9]$ . for electron capture, whereas for electron loss we used the Bohr formula [9]. for electron capture, whereas for electron loss we used the Bohr formula  $[9]$ . In ionized hydrogen and at  $E > 9$ Mev/n in atomic hydrogen, we used the radiative electron capture cross section  $[10]$ . For the elec-

efection captule cross section [10]. For the ef<br>tron loss cross—sections in ionized hydrogen we have employed the same cross—sections as in atomic hydrogen, since the electronic screening .~ 16" ID <sup>10</sup> <sup>10</sup> atomic nydrogen, since the electronic screening  $\overline{a}$ projectil. In fig. 1 we have illustrated these projectii. In fig. I we have fifterfated these **.**<br>aross–sections for Fe<sup>+26</sup>. The crossing veloc– cross-sections for Fe<sup>+2</sup>°. The crossing veloc-<br>ity y , where the capture and loss sections sections ity vo, where the tapture and 1055 CT055 Sections. cur at  $v=v_0$  (where  $v_0$  is the Bohr velocity),  $w$ here volumed volume where  $\frac{1}{2}$ ,  $w = 1$ ,  $\frac{1}{2}$  $V = 0$ as ror nea<br>27 z <sup>0</sup> \*<sup>3</sup> v v<sub>C</sub>-1.2. v<sub>0</sub> for all elements. Introduction<br>V<sub>C</sub>=0.1 q<sup>0</sup> ·<sup>6</sup> v<sub>0</sub> for all elements. Introduction of adequate cross-sections and crossing<br>velocities in eqs. (1) and (2) allows us to velocities in eqs. (1) and (2) allows us to Fig.1.-Cross-sections of radiative determine whether the accelerated ions by the electron capture  $(\sigma_{CT})$  and Coulomb Fermi and Betatron processes undergo electron  $F$ ermi and betatron processes undergo electron electron capture ( $\sigma$ ) and loss  $F^{12}$  (the crossing—velociloss or not in atomic and ionized hydrogen (cpc) of  $Ie^{-im\theta}$  crossing velocity of  $Ie^{-im\theta}$  and  $Ie^{-im\theta}$  an loss or not in atomic and ionized hydrogen  $(\sigma_{pc})$  of  $F_e^{126}$ . The crossing-velocition for both ranges:  $(v < v_c)$  where electron capt-<br>ure predominates and  $(v \vee v_c)$  where electron  $\sigma_{CT}^{-10} \sigma_{C}$  in atomic and ionized h Loss is dominant  $\mathbf{S}$  in  $\mathbf{S}$  and  $\mathbf{S}$  are electrical geometric and  $\mathbf{S}$  occurs of  $\mathbf{S}$  at  $\mathbf{S}$  and  $\mathbf{S}$  and  $\mathbf{S}$  are  $\mathbf{S}$  and  $\mathbf{S}$  at  $\mathbf{S}$  and  $\mathbf{S}$  are  $\mathbf{S}$  and  $\mathbf{S}$ loss is dominant. Similarly, we determined over res whether particles undergo electron capture 99.99 99. whether particles undergo electron capture<br>or not in both ranges, for both acceleration or not in both ranges, for both acceler processes and in both media. The charge<br>state q of local matter has been taken from conventional ionization equilibrium calculations [11]



order to describe the evolution of the charge behavior as a function of particile velocity. So, our results rule out the direct measurement of particle charge states to diagnose source temperatures. We propose <sup>a</sup> more realiable method of diagnosis by analyzing the shifts toward long wave-lengths of the radiation peaks produced by electron capture of low energy heavy nuclei as they become progressively charged and more energetic during acceleration. The evolution of X-rays from radiative capture by high energy nuclei may also become an important diagnostic tool. Concerning the mass spectrum we only want to mention here that the tendency for increasing probability and energy range for electron capture at low energies for heavier ions favors the enrichment of heavy elements, because the decrease of effective charge entails itchment of heavy efements, because the decrease of effective charge entails<br>a decrease of Coulomb losses (q\*<sup>2</sup>/A) of these ions. For the same reason,<br>temperatures between 1.6 x 10<sup>4</sup> z T < 10<sup>5</sup> Y favor heavy nuclei enh since due to the difficulty in capturing free-electrons, light elements do since due to the difficulty in capturing free-effectrons, fight effections do<br>not undergo electron pick-up. At high energies (v > vc) and low temperatures,<br>heavier ions have a higher probability of occurance and a higher e  $\frac{1}{2}$  for electron loss in fact argues in favor of the determination of the mass for electron loss. Inis fact argues in favor of the determination of the metricles were<br>spectrum during the acceleration of thermal particles : if particles were previously accelerated, due to this faster stripping of high energy nuc<br>they would be preferentially decelerated by Coulomb losses because thei they would be preferentially decelerated by Coulomb losses because their<br>higher effective charge.

### REFERENCES

- (1) R.K. Sciambi, G. Glockler, C.Y. Fan and D. Hovestadt, Direct measurement of the ionization states of energetic Carbon and Oxygen emitted by the sun, Astrophys. J. 214, 316 (1977).
- (2) D. Brady, J. Chan and P.B. Price, Charge states and Energy-dependent composition of solar flare particles, Phys. Rev. Letters 30, 669 (1973).
- $(3)$  J.D. Sulliver and P.B. Price, On the Charge state of low energy Fe J.D. Sullivan and P.B. Price, On the Charge state of low energy Fe.<br>Ruslei accelerated by solar flares, Proc. 17th Int. Cosmic Ray Conf 1111111121212<br>2, 1470 (1973)
- (4) G. Glockler, R.K. Sciambi, C.Y. Fan and D. Hovestadt, A direct measure-<br>ment of the charge states of energetic iron emitted by the Sun, Astrophys. J. 209,  $193$  (1976).
- (5) L.C. Ma Guilg, G. Glockler, C. F. Fan and D. Hovestadt, Ionization states of heavy elements observed in the 14-15 May anomalous solar narticle of heavy cluments observed in the 14-15 May anomalous solar particle<br>event Proc 16th Int Cosmic Pay Conf 17 771 (1979) event, Proc. 16th Int. Cosmic Ray Conf. 12, 271 (1979).<br>J.J. O'Gallagher, D. Hovestadt, B. Klecker, G. Glockler and C.Y. Fan,
- (6) J.J. O'Gallagher, D. Hovestadt, D. Nietker, G. Glockler and C.I. Fan,<br>Time dispersion of energetic color particles : unexpected velocity an Time dispersion of energetic solar particles : unexpected velocity and species dependence, Astrophys. J., 109, L97 (1976).<br>J. Pérez-Peraza and R. Lara A., The required range for the acceleration
- (7) J. Peraza and R. Lara A., The required range for the acceleration efficiency when particles undergo energy losses, <u>floc. Toen inc. Cosmic</u><br>Rav. Conf. 12, 259 (1979). <u>Ray . Conf. 12, 259 (1979</u>).
- (8) V.S. Nikolaev, Electron capture and loss by fast ions in atomic colli-<br>sions, Sov. Phys. Uspekhi 8, 269 (1965).  $s_{1000}$ ,  $s_{001}$ ,  $n_{11}$ ,  $s_{1000}$ ,  $n_{11}$ ,  $s_{1000}$ ,  $s_{1000}$ ,  $s_{1000}$ ,  $s_{1000}$
- (9) N. Bohr, Penetration of atomic particles through matter, <u>Dan. Mat. Fys</u><br>Modd 19 º (1049) Medd 18-8 (1948).
- (10) H. Bethe and E.C. Salpeter, in Quantum Mechanics of one and two-electron  $\frac{1}{2}$ atoms,  $S_{\text{pringer}}$  verlag, pp. 320-322 (1957).
- $(11)$  N.K. Jam and V. Matam, Ionization equilibrium of some elements of some elements of  $\frac{1}{2}$ astrophysical importance, Astron. Astrophys. Suppl. 31, <sup>1</sup> (1978).